

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/879,981		COVINGTON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Marc R Filipczyk		2171	

All Participants:

(1) Marc R Filipczyk.

(2) David R. Schaffer.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:45

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
2-21

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner has conducted a telephone interview with David R. Schaffer on March 19, 2004 wherein the Applicants elected Group I, claims 2-14 with traverse.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


SAFET METJAHIC
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

SAFET METJAHIC
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)